Electromagnetic Particle-In-Cell codes

Remi Lehe

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL)

 US Particle Accelerator School (USPAS) Summer Session Self-Consistent Simulations of Beam and Plasma Systems
 S. M. Lund, J.-L. Vay, R. Lehe & D. Winklehner
 Colorado State U, Ft. Collins, CO, 13-17 June, 2016

Electromagnetic Particle-In-Cell codes: Outline

D Electromagnetic PIC vs. electrostatic PIC

- When to use electrostatic or electromagnetic PIC
- The PIC loop in electrostatic and electromagnetic PIC
- 2 Finite-difference electromagnetic field solvers
 - Staggering in time
 - Staggering in space
 - The equations $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0$
- 3 Current deposition and continuity equation
 - Direct current deposition and continuity equation
 - Boris correction
 - Charge-conserving deposition

When to use ES-PIC or EM-PIC

Electrostatics

$$rac{\partial oldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} pprox oldsymbol{0}$$
 $oldsymbol{
abla} \cdot oldsymbol{E} = rac{
ho}{\epsilon_0} \qquad \left(
ightarrow oldsymbol{
abla}^2 \phi = -rac{
ho}{\epsilon_0}
ight)$

Approximate set of equations:

- Magnetic fields vary **slowly**.
- Magnetic fields are typically externally generated. The magnetic fields generated by beams/plasma are neglected.
- Fast evolutions such as radiation/retardation effects are neglected.

Electromagnetics

$$oldsymbol{
abla} oldsymbol{
abla} oldsymbol{E} oldsymbol{E} = rac{
ho}{\epsilon_0} ~~ oldsymbol{
abla} imes oldsymbol{E} = -rac{\partial oldsymbol{B}}{\partial t}$$
 $oldsymbol{
abla} \cdot oldsymbol{B} = oldsymbol{0} ~~ oldsymbol{
abla} imes oldsymbol{B} = oldsymbol{\mu}_0 oldsymbol{j} + rac{1}{c^2} rac{\partial oldsymbol{E}}{\partial t}$

Full set of equations:

- Self-consistently includes magnetic fields generated by the beams/plasmas.
- Supports **fast** evolution of fields and esp. retardation/radiation effects

When to use ES-PIC or EM-PIC

Intuitive examples (animations)





- The fields change **adiabatically** and depend only on the **instantaneous** positions of the particles.
- \rightarrow Electrostatic PIC is OK



- The particles move **close to** *c*, and accelerate abruptly.
- The fields depend on the **history** of the particles (radiation effects)
- \rightarrow Electromagnetic PIC is needed

When to use ES-PIC or EM-PIC

Example using electrostatic PIC:

Sub-GeV acceleration of ions in conventional accelerators



• The ions are slower than c.

Example using electromagnetic PIC:

Laser-driven acceleration of electrons in plasmas



- Presence of radiation (the laser)
- The electrons move close to c.

When to use ES-PIC or EM-PIC

Other examples using **electromagnetic PIC** \mathbf{PIC}



• Capturing the **self-consistent** evolution of the **B** field is key.



• Presence of radiation (lasers)

Field solver in ES-PIC and EM-PIC

Electrostatic field solver

$${oldsymbol
abla}^2 \phi = -rac{
ho}{\epsilon_0} \qquad {oldsymbol E} = -{oldsymbol
abla} \phi$$

The fields are **recalculated from scratch** at each timestep, from the **current** particle charge density. (no dependence on the history)



Electromagnetic field solver

$$egin{aligned} &rac{\partial m{B}}{\partial t} = -m{
abla} imes m{E} \ &rac{\partial m{E}}{\partial t} = c^2 m{
abla} imes m{B} - \mu_0 c^2 m{j} \end{aligned}$$

The fields are **updated** at each timestep.



EM-PIC vs. ES-PIC

Field solvers

Deposition of J

References

The PIC loop in Electrostatic-PIC



EM-PIC vs. ES-PIC

Field solvers

Deposition of J

References

The PIC loop in Electromagnetic-PIC



Electromagnetic Particle-In-Cell codes: Outline

D Electromagnetic PIC vs. electrostatic PIC

- When to use electrostatic or electromagnetic PIC
- The PIC loop in electrostatic and electromagnetic PIC
- 2 Finite-difference electromagnetic field solvers
 - Staggering in time
 - Staggering in space
 - The equations $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0$
- 3 Current deposition and continuity equation
 - Direct current deposition and continuity equation
 - Boris correction
 - Charge-conserving deposition

Staggering in time

Reminder: (Monday's Overview of Basic Numerical Methods)

Centered discretization of derivatives is more accurate



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\Big|_{n} &= \frac{f_{n+1/2} - f_{n-1/2}}{\Delta t} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^{2}) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\Big|_{n} \\ \underbrace{\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\Big|_{n}}_{f_{n-1/2}} \underbrace{f_{n+1/2}}_{f_{n+1/2}} t \end{aligned}$$

Field solvers

Deposition of J

References

Staggering in time



- How to discretize $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t} = c^2 \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B} \mu_0 c^2 \boldsymbol{j}$ in time?
- How to stagger E, B and j?

Staggering in time



- **E** is defined at integer timestep.
- B and j are defined at half-integer timestep.

Staggering in time

Implication for **field gathering**

The particle pusher requires \boldsymbol{B} at time $n\Delta t$. This is obtained by averaging $\boldsymbol{B}^{n+1/2}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}^{n-1/2}$.



Staggering in time

Implication for **current deposition**

The current should be deposited at time $(n + 1/2)\Delta t$. This is done by using the particle's $v_i^{n+1/2}$ and some combination of x_i^n and x_i^{n+1} . (See Section 3)



References

Staggering in time: the full EM-PIC cycle



Staggering in time: the full EM-PIC cycle



Staggering in space (1D)

To illustrate staggering in space, let us consider a **simplified case** where the fields vary only along z (1D case).

1D Maxwell equations for E_x and B_y



- How to discretize these equations?
- How to stagger E_x , B_y , j_x ?

Field solvers

Deposition of J

Staggering in space (1D)

1D discretized Maxwell equations for E_x and B_y

$$\partial_{t}B_{y}|_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n} = -\partial_{z}E_{x}|_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n}$$

$$i.e. \quad \frac{B_{y}_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - B_{y}_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta t} = -\left(\frac{E_{x}_{k+1}^{n} - E_{x}_{k}^{n}}{\Delta z}\right)$$

$$\partial_{t}E_{x}|_{k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = -c^{2}\partial_{z}B_{y}|_{k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mu_{0}c^{2}j_{x}_{k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$i.e. \quad \frac{E_{x}_{k}^{n+1} - E_{x}_{k}^{n}}{\Delta t} = -c^{2}\left(\frac{B_{y}_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - B_{y}_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta z}\right) - \mu_{0}c^{2}j_{x}_{k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$



Staggering in space (3D): the Yee grid



The different components of the different fields are staggered, so that all derivatives in the Maxwell equations are centered (Yee, 1966).

Staggering in space (3D): the Yee grid

Field	Position in space and time				Notation
	X	У	\mathbf{Z}	\mathbf{t}	
E_x	$(i+\frac{1}{2})\Delta x$	$j\Delta y$	$k\Delta z$	$n\Delta t$	$E_x_{i+\frac{1}{2},j,k}^n$
E_y	$i\Delta x$	$(j+\frac{1}{2})\Delta y$	$k\Delta z$	$n\Delta t$	$E_{y_{i,j+\frac{1}{2},k}^n}$
E_z	$i\Delta x$	$j\Delta y$	$(k+\frac{1}{2})\Delta z$	$n\Delta t$	$E_{z_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}}}$
B_x	$i\Delta x$	$(j+\frac{1}{2})\Delta y$	$(k+\frac{1}{2})\Delta z$	$(n+\frac{1}{2})\Delta t$	$B_{x_{i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}$
B_y	$(i+\frac{1}{2})\Delta x$	$j\Delta y$	$(k+\frac{1}{2})\Delta z$	$(n+\frac{1}{2})\Delta t$	$B_{y_{i+\frac{1}{2},j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}$
B_z	$(i+\frac{1}{2})\Delta x$	$(j+\frac{1}{2})\Delta y$	$k\Delta z$	$(n+\frac{1}{2})\Delta t$	$B_{z_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}$
ρ	$i\Delta x$	$j\Delta y$	$k\Delta z$	$n\Delta t$	$ ho_{i,j,k}^n$ 2
j_x	$(i+\frac{1}{2})\Delta x$	$j\Delta y$	$k\Delta z$	$(n+\frac{1}{2})\Delta t$	$j_{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}},j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$
j_y	$i\Delta x$	$(j+\frac{1}{2})\Delta y$	$k\Delta z$	$(n+\frac{1}{2})\Delta t$	$j_{y_{i,j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}$
j_z	$i\Delta x$	$j\Delta y$	$(k+\frac{1}{2})\Delta z$	$(n+\frac{1}{2})\Delta t$	$j_{z}{n+rac{1}{2}\atop i,j,k+rac{1}{2}}$

Staggering in space (3D): the Maxwell equations

Maxwell-Ampère

$$\partial_{t}E_{x}\Big|_{i+\frac{1}{2},j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = c^{2}\partial_{y}B_{z}\Big|_{i+\frac{1}{2},j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - c^{2}\partial_{z}B_{y}\Big|_{i+\frac{1}{2},j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mu_{0}c^{2}j_{x}\Big|_{i+\frac{1}{2},j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\partial_{t}E_{y}\Big|_{i,j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = c^{2}\partial_{z}B_{x}\Big|_{i,j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - c^{2}\partial_{x}B_{z}\Big|_{i,j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mu_{0}c^{2}j_{y}\Big|_{i,j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\partial_{t}E_{z}\Big|_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = c^{2}\partial_{x}B_{y}\Big|_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - c^{2}\partial_{y}B_{x}\Big|_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mu_{0}c^{2}j_{z}\Big|_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$

Maxwell-Faraday

$$\partial_{t}B_{x}\big|_{i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n} = -\partial_{y}E_{z}\big|_{i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n} + \partial_{z}E_{y}\big|_{i,j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n}$$

$$\partial_{t}B_{y}\big|_{i+\frac{1}{2},j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n} = -\partial_{z}E_{x}\big|_{i+\frac{1}{2},j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n} + \partial_{x}E_{z}\big|_{i+\frac{1}{2},j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n}$$

$$\partial_{t}B_{z}\big|_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n} = -\partial_{x}E_{y}\big|_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n} + \partial_{y}E_{x}\big|_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n}$$

$$\partial_{t}F\big|_{i',j',k'}^{n'} \equiv \frac{F_{i',j',k'}^{n'+\frac{1}{2}} - F_{i',j',k'}^{n'-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta t} \quad \partial_{x}F\big|_{i',j',k'}^{n'} \equiv \frac{F_{i'+\frac{1}{2},j',k'}^{n'-\frac{1}{2},j',k'}}{\Delta x}$$

$$\partial_{y}F\big|_{i',j',k'}^{n'} \equiv \frac{F_{i',j'+\frac{1}{2},k'}^{n'-\frac{1}{2},k'} - F_{i',j'-\frac{1}{2},k'}^{n'}}{\Delta y} \quad \partial_{z}F\big|_{i',j',k'}^{n'} \equiv \frac{F_{i',j',k'+\frac{1}{2}}^{n'-\frac{1}{2},j',k'} - F_{i',j',k'-\frac{1}{2}}^{n'}}{\Delta z}$$

$$22$$

The equations $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$ and $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0$

Gauss law for magnetic field

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$$

$$\partial_x B_x \Big|_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}, k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \partial_y B_y \Big|_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}, k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \partial_z B_z \Big|_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}, k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = 0$$

Gauss law

$$oldsymbol{
abla} \cdot oldsymbol{E} = rac{
ho}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\partial_x E_x|_{i,j,k}^n + \partial_y E_y|_{i,j,k}^n + \partial_z E_z|_{i,j,k}^n = \frac{\rho_{i,j,k}^n}{\epsilon_0}$$

These equations are not used during the PIC loop! (Since we use only $\partial_t \boldsymbol{E} = c^2 \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B} - \mu_0 c^2 \boldsymbol{j}$ and $\partial_t \boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E}$ to update the fields.)

 \rightarrow Are $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$ and $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0$ actually satisfied?

The equation $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$

Provided that:

• $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$ is satisfied initially

• $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E}$ is satisfied at all time.

then:
$$\frac{\partial (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B})}{\partial t} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E}) = 0$$

i.e. $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$ at all time

This remains true for the discretized operators.

Conservation of $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B}$

Updating \boldsymbol{B} with the discretized Maxwell-Faraday equation preserves

$$\partial_x B_x \Big|_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}, k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \partial_y B_y \Big|_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}, k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \partial_z B_z \Big|_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}, k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = 0$$

The equation $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0$

Provided that:

•
$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0$$
 is satisfied initially

•
$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t} = -c^2 \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B} - \mu_0 c^2 \boldsymbol{j}$$
 is satisfied at all time.

then:
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} - \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \right) = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{j} \right)$$

i.e. $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$ at all time, provided that $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{j} = 0$

Conservation of $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0$

Updating E with the discretized Maxwell-Ampère equation preserves

$$\partial_x E_x|_{i,j,k}^n + \partial_y E_y|_{i,j,k}^n + \partial_z E_z|_{i,j,k}^n = \frac{\rho_{i,j,k}^n}{\epsilon_0}$$

provided that the continuity equation is satisfied at each iteration:

$$\partial_t \rho |_{i,j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \partial_x j_x |_{i,j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \partial_y j_y |_{i,j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \partial_z j_z |_{i,j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = 0$$

Electromagnetic Particle-In-Cell codes: Outline

D Electromagnetic PIC vs. electrostatic PIC

- When to use electrostatic or electromagnetic PIC
- The PIC loop in electrostatic and electromagnetic PIC
- 2 Finite-difference electromagnetic field solvers
 - Staggering in time
 - Staggering in space
 - The equations $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0$
- 3 Current deposition and continuity equation
 - Direct current deposition and continuity equation
 - Boris correction
 - Charge-conserving deposition

Charge/current deposition: reminder



Direct current deposition: 1D example

Direct current deposition: The current j is deposited with the same shape factor as the charge density ρ .



Direct current deposition and continuity equation

1D continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial j_z}{\partial z} = 0 \qquad \rightarrow \qquad \frac{\rho_k^{n+1} - \rho_k^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{j_z^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - j_z^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta z} = 0$$

Does direct deposition satisfy the continuity equation? Example with *nearest grid point*, i.e. $S(z - z_i) = 1$ if $|z - z_i| < \Delta z/2$



Direct current deposition and continuity equation

Direct current deposition does not satisfy the continuity equation.

Reminder:

Updating E with the discretized Maxwell-Ampère equation preserves

$$oldsymbol{
abla} \cdot oldsymbol{E} = rac{
ho}{\epsilon_0}$$

provided that the continuity equation is satisfied at each iteration.

The PIC loop with **direct current deposition** <u>does not</u> preserve

$$oldsymbol{
abla} \cdot oldsymbol{E} = rac{
ho}{\epsilon_0}$$

Two alternative solutions:

- Boris correction: correcting $\nabla \cdot E$ at each iteration.
- Use a charge-conserving deposition instead of direct deposition.

Boris correction

Boris correction

At each iteration, after updating \boldsymbol{E} , correct it using

$$oldsymbol{E}' = oldsymbol{E} - oldsymbol{
abla} \delta \phi \qquad ext{with} \qquad oldsymbol{
abla}^2 \delta \phi = oldsymbol{
abla} \cdot oldsymbol{E} - rac{
ho}{\epsilon_0}$$

The new field E' does satisfy (demonstration on the white board)

$${oldsymbol
abla}\cdot {oldsymbol E}' = rac{
ho}{\epsilon_0}$$

Practical implementation

The discretized version of

$$\nabla^2 \delta \phi = \nabla \cdot E - rac{
ho}{\epsilon_0}$$

needs to be solved on the grid at each iteration, so as to obtain $\delta\phi$. \rightarrow Can be done using techniques from electrostatic PIC (see previous lecture), e.g. direct matrix, spectral or relaxation methods

Charge-conserving deposition

Charge-conserving deposition

The current \boldsymbol{j} is deposited in such a way that it automatically satisfies the continuity equation

$$\partial_t \rho \big|_{i,j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \partial_x j_x \big|_{i,j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \partial_y j_y \big|_{i,j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \partial_z j_z \big|_{i,j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = 0$$

Several algorithms exist, e.g.

- Esirkepov (Esirkepov, 2001)
- ZigZag (Umeda et al., 2003)

In these cases, the PIC loop automatically preserves

$$oldsymbol{
abla} \cdot oldsymbol{E} = rac{
ho}{\epsilon_0}$$

The Boris correction is not needed.



References

- Esirkepov, T. (2001). Exact charge conservation scheme for particle-in-cell simulation with an arbitrary form-factor. Computer Physics Communications, 135(2):144 153.
- Umeda, T., Omura, Y., Tominaga, T., and Matsumoto, H. (2003). A new charge conservation method in electromagnetic particle-in-cell simulations. *Computer Physics Communications*, 156(1):73 85.
- Yee, K. (1966). Numerical solution of initial boundary value problems involving maxwell's equations in isotropic media. Antennas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions on, 14(3):302–307.