

## 02. Multipole Fields\*

Prof. Steven M. Lund  
 Physics and Astronomy Department  
 Facility for Rare Isotope Beams (FRIB)  
 Michigan State University (MSU)

US Particle Accelerator School  
 "Accelerator Physics"  
 Steven M. Lund and Yue Hao

East Lansing, Michigan, Kellogg Center  
 4-15 June, 2018  
 (Version 20180604)

\* Research supported by:  
 FRIB/MSU: U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science Cooperative Agreement DE-SC0000661 and National Science Foundation Grant No. PHY-1102511

## Particle Equations of Motion

### Introduction: The Lorentz Force Equation

The *Lorentz force equation* of a charged particle is given by (MKS Units):

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{p}_i(t) = q_i [\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}_i, t) + \mathbf{v}_i(t) \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}_i, t)]$$

$m_i, q_i$  .... particle mass, charge  $i =$  particle index

$\mathbf{x}_i(t)$  .... particle coordinate  $t =$  time

$\mathbf{p}_i(t) = m_i \gamma_i(t) \mathbf{v}_i(t)$  .... particle momentum

$\mathbf{v}_i(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{x}_i(t) = c \vec{\beta}_i(t)$  .... particle velocity

$\gamma_i(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_i^2(t)}}$  .... particle gamma factor

	Total	Applied	Self
Electric Field:	$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t)$	$= \mathbf{E}^a(\mathbf{x}, t)$	$+ \mathbf{E}^s(\mathbf{x}, t)$
Magnetic Field:	$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t)$	$= \mathbf{B}^a(\mathbf{x}, t)$	$+ \mathbf{B}^s(\mathbf{x}, t)$

The electric ( $\mathbf{E}^a$ ) and magnetic ( $\mathbf{B}^a$ ) fields satisfy the *Maxwell Equations*. The linear structure of the Maxwell equations can be exploited to resolve the field into *Applied* and *Self-Field* components:

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}^a + \mathbf{E}^s$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}^a + \mathbf{B}^s$$

*Applied Fields* (often quasi-static  $\partial/\partial t \simeq 0$ )  $\mathbf{E}^a, \mathbf{B}^a$

Generated by elements in lattice

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^a &= \frac{\rho^a}{\epsilon_0} & \nabla \times \mathbf{B}^a &= \mu_0 \mathbf{J}^a + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}^a \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^a &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}^a & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^a &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\rho^a =$  applied charge density  $\frac{1}{\mu_0 \epsilon_0} = c^2$   
 $\mathbf{J}^a =$  applied current density

+ Boundary Conditions on  $\mathbf{E}^a$  and  $\mathbf{B}^a$

- ♦ Boundary conditions depend on the total fields  $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}$  and if separated into Applied and Self-Field components, care can be required
- ♦ System often solved as static boundary value problem and source free in the vacuum transport region of the beam

## Self fields


*Self-fields* are generated by the distribution of beam particles:

Charges

Currents


Particle at Rest

(pure electrostatic)



Particle in Motion

Obtain from Lorentz boost of rest-frame field: see Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*




- ♦ Superimpose for all particles in the beam distribution
- ♦ Accelerating particles also radiate

We will neglect all self-field in this section: assume low intensity


## Applied Fields used to Focus, Bend, and Accelerate Beam

Transverse optics for focusing:


**Electric Quadrupole**



**Magnetic Quadrupole**




**Solenoid**




**Dipole Bends:**

**Electric x-direction bend**




**Magnetic x-direction bend**




Longitudinal Acceleration:

**RF Cavity**




**Induction Cell**




## Machine Lattice

Applied field structures are often arranged in a regular (periodic) lattice for beam transport/acceleration:




◆ Sometimes functions like bending/focusing are combined into a single element

Example – Linear FODO lattice (symmetric quadrupole doublet)



Lattices for rings and some beam insertion/extraction sections also incorporate bends and more complicated periodic structures:



◆ Elements to insert beam into and out of ring further complicate lattice  
 ◆ Acceleration cells also present (typically several RF cavities at one or more location)

### S3: Description of Applied Focusing Fields

#### S3A: Overview


Applied fields for focusing, bending, and acceleration enter the equations of motion via:

$$\mathbf{E}^a = \text{Applied Electric Field}$$

$$\mathbf{B}^a = \text{Applied Magnetic Field}$$

Generally, these fields are produced by sources (often static or slowly varying in time) located outside an aperture or so-called pipe radius  $r = r_p$ . For example, the **electric** and **magnetic** quadrupoles of S2:

#### Electric Quadrupole




Hyperbolic material surfaces outside pipe radius  $r = r_p$

Electrodes Outside of Circle  $r = r_p$   
Electrodes:  $x^2 - y^2 = \pm r_p^2$

SM Lund, USPAS, 2018

#### Magnetic Quadrupole



Accelerator Physics

9

The fields of such classes of magnets obey the **vacuum Maxwell Equations** within the aperture:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^a &= 0 & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^a &= 0 \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^a &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}^a & \nabla \times \mathbf{B}^a &= \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}^a \end{aligned}$$

If the fields are static or sufficiently slowly varying (quasistatic) where the time derivative terms can be neglected, then the fields in the aperture will obey the **static vacuum Maxwell equations**:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^a &= 0 & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^a &= 0 \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^a &= 0 & \nabla \times \mathbf{B}^a &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

In general, optical elements are tuned to **limit** the strength of **nonlinear field terms** so the beam experiences primarily **linear applied fields**.

♦ Linear fields allow better preservation of beam quality

Removal of *all* nonlinear fields cannot be accomplished

♦ 3D structure of the Maxwell equations precludes for finite geometry optics

♦ Even in finite geometries deviations from optimal structures and symmetry will result in nonlinear fields

SM Lund, USPAS, 2018

Accelerator Physics


10

As an example of this, when an ideal 2D iron magnet with infinite hyperbolic poles is truncated radially for finite 2D geometry, this leads to nonlinear focusing fields even in 2D:

♦ Truncation necessary along with confinement of return flux in yoke


#### Cross-Sections of Iron Quadrupole Magnets

##### Ideal (infinite geometry)



Hyperbolic Iron Pole Sections (infinite)

##### Practical (finite geometry)



Shaped Iron Pole Sections (finite)

SM Lund, USPAS, 2018

Accelerator Physics

11

The design of optimized electric and magnetic optics for accelerators is a specialized topic with a vast literature. It is not possible to cover this topic in this brief survey. In this section we will overview a limited subset of material on **magnetic optics** including:

♦ (see: S3B) **Magnetic field expansions** for focusing and bending

♦ (see: S3C) **Hard edge equivalent models**

♦ (see: S3D) **2D multipole models** and nonlinear field scalings

♦ (see: S3E) **Good field radius**

Much of the material presented can be immediately applied to static **Electric Optics** since the vacuum Maxwell equations are the same for static Electric  $\mathbf{E}^a$  and Magnetic  $\mathbf{B}^a$  fields in vacuum.

SM Lund, USPAS, 2018

Accelerator Physics

12

### S3B: Magnetic Field Expansions for Focusing and Bending

Forces from transverse ( $B_z^a = 0$ ) magnetic fields enter the transverse equations of motion via:

$$\text{Force: } \mathbf{F}_\perp^a \simeq q\beta_b c \hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{B}_\perp^a$$

$$\text{Field: } \mathbf{B}_\perp^a = \hat{\mathbf{x}} B_x^a + \hat{\mathbf{y}} B_y^a$$

$$\mathbf{F} = q\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}^a$$

$$\mathbf{v} \simeq \beta_b c \hat{\mathbf{z}}$$

Combined these give:

$$\begin{aligned} F_x^a &\simeq -q\beta_b c B_y^a \\ F_y^a &\simeq q\beta_b c B_x^a \end{aligned}$$

Field components entering these expressions can be expanded about  $\mathbf{x}_\perp = 0$

- Element center and design orbit taken to be at  $\mathbf{x}_\perp = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} B_x^a &= B_x^a(0) + \frac{2}{\partial y} \frac{\partial B_x^a}{\partial y}(0)y + \frac{3}{\partial x} \frac{\partial B_x^a}{\partial x}(0)x \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B_x^a}{\partial x^2}(0)x^2 + \frac{\partial^2 B_x^a}{\partial x \partial y}(0)xy + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial B_x^a}{\partial y^2}(0)y^2 + \dots \\ B_y^a &= B_y^a(0) + \frac{2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial B_y^a}{\partial x}(0)x + \frac{3}{\partial y} \frac{\partial B_y^a}{\partial y}(0)y \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B_y^a}{\partial x^2}(0)x^2 + \frac{\partial^2 B_y^a}{\partial x \partial y}(0)xy + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial B_y^a}{\partial y^2}(0)y^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Nonlinear Focus

Terms:  
1: Dipole Bend  
2: Normal Quad Focus  
3: Skew Quad Focus

Sources of undesired nonlinear applied field components include:

- Intrinsic finite 3D geometry and the structure of the Maxwell equations
- Systematic errors or sub-optimal geometry associated with practical trade-offs in fabricating the optic
- Random construction errors in individual optical elements
- Alignment errors of magnets in the lattice giving field projections in unwanted directions
- Excitation errors effecting the field strength
  - Currents in coils not correct and/or unbalanced

More advanced treatments exploit less simple power-series expansions to express symmetries more clearly:


- Maxwell equations constrain structure of solutions
  - Expansion coefficients are NOT all independent
- Forms appropriate for bent coordinate systems in dipole bends can become complicated

### S3C: Hard Edge Equivalent Models

Real 3D magnets can often be modeled with sufficient accuracy by 2D **hard-edge** “equivalent” magnets that give the same approximate focusing impulse to the particle as the full 3D magnet

- Objective is to provide same approximate applied focusing “kick” to particles with different focusing gradient functions  $G(s)$

See Figure Next Slide



Many prescriptions exist for calculating the effective axial length and strength of hard-edge equivalent models

♦ See Review: Lund and Bukh, PRSTAB 7 204801 (2004), Appendix C

Here we overview a simple equivalence method that has been shown to work well:

For a relatively long, but finite axial length magnet with 3D gradient function:

$$G(z) \equiv \left. \frac{\partial B_x^a}{\partial y} \right|_{x=y=0}$$

Take **hard-edge equivalent** parameters:

♦ Take  $z = 0$  at the axial magnet mid-plane

Gradient:  $G^* \equiv G(z = 0)$

Axial Length:  $\ell \equiv \frac{1}{G(z = 0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz G(z)$

♦ More advanced equivalences can be made based more on particle optics  
- Disadvantage of such methods is “equivalence” changes with particle energy and must be revisited as optics are tuned

### S3D: 2D Transverse Multipole Magnetic Fields

In many cases, it is sufficient to characterize the field errors in 2D hard-edge equivalent as:

$$\overline{B}_x(x, y) = \frac{1}{\ell} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz B_x^a(x, y, z)$$

$$\overline{B}_y(x, y) = \frac{1}{\ell} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz B_y^a(x, y, z)$$

↑ 2D Effective Fields      ↑ 3D Fields

Operating on the **vacuum Maxwell equations** with:  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\ell} \dots$   
yields the (exact) **2D Transverse Maxwell equations**:

$$\frac{\partial \overline{B}_x(x, y)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \overline{B}_y(x, y)}{\partial x} \quad \Leftarrow \text{From } \nabla \times \mathbf{B}^a = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \overline{B}_x(x, y)}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial \overline{B}_y(x, y)}{\partial y} \quad \Leftarrow \text{From } \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^a = 0$$

These equations are recognized as the **Cauchy-Riemann conditions** for a **complex field variable**:

$$\underline{B}^* \equiv \overline{B}_x - i\overline{B}_y \quad i \equiv \sqrt{-1}$$

to be an **analytical function** of the **complex variable**:

$$\underline{z} \equiv x + iy \quad i \equiv \sqrt{-1}$$

Notation:  
Underlines denote complex variables where confusion may arise

#### Cauchy-Riemann Conditions

$$\underline{F} = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \longrightarrow$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \quad \longrightarrow$$

$\underline{F} = u + iv$  analytic  
func of  $\underline{z} = x + iy$

#### 2D Magnetic Field

$$u = \overline{B}_x \quad v = -\overline{B}_y$$

$$\frac{\partial \overline{B}_x(x, y)}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial \overline{B}_y(x, y)}{\partial y}$$

$$\frac{\partial \overline{B}_x(x, y)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \overline{B}_y(x, y)}{\partial x}$$

$\underline{F} = \overline{B}_x - i\overline{B}_y$  analytic  
func of  $\underline{z} = x + iy$

Note the complex field which is an analytic function of  $\underline{z} = x + iy$  is  $\underline{B}^* = \overline{B}_x - i\overline{B}_y$  NOT  $\underline{B} = \overline{B}_x + i\overline{B}_y$ . This is *not* a typo and is necessary for  $\underline{B}^*$  to satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann conditions.

♦ See problem sets for illustration

It follows that  $\underline{B}^*(z)$  can be analyzed using the full power of the highly developed theory of analytical functions of a complex variable.

Expand  $\underline{B}^*(z)$  as a **Laurent Series** within the vacuum aperture as:

$$\underline{B}^*(z) = \overline{B}_x(x, y) - i\overline{B}_y(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \underline{b}_n z^{n-1}$$

$$\underline{b}_n = \text{const (complex)}$$

$$n = \text{Multipole Index}$$

The  $\underline{b}_n$  are called “multipole coefficients” and give the structure of the field. The multipole coefficients can be resolved into real and imaginary parts as:

$$\underline{b}_n = \mathcal{A}_n - i\mathcal{B}_n$$

$$\mathcal{B}_n \implies \text{”Normal” Multipoles}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_n \implies \text{”Skew” Multipoles}$$

Some algebra identifies the polynomial symmetries of low-order terms as:

Cartesian projections:  $\overline{B}_x - i\overline{B}_y = (\mathcal{A}_n - i\mathcal{B}_n)(x + iy)^{n-1}$

Index $n$	Name	Normal ( $\mathcal{A}_n = 0$ )		Skew ( $\mathcal{B}_n = 0$ )	
		$\overline{B}_x/\mathcal{B}_n$	$\overline{B}_y/\mathcal{B}_n$	$\overline{B}_x/\mathcal{A}_n$	$\overline{B}_y/\mathcal{A}_n$
1	Dipole	0	1	1	
2	Quadrupole	$y$	$x$	$x$	$-y$
3	Sextupole	$2xy$	$x^2 - y^2$	$x^2 - y^2$	$-2xy$
4	Octupole	$3x^2y - y^3$	$x^3 - 3xy^2$	$x^3 - 3xy^2$	$-3x^2y + y^3$
5	Decapole	$4x^3y - 4xy^3$	$x^4 - 6x^2y^2 + y^4$	$x^4 - 6x^2y^2 + y^4$	$-4x^3y + 4xy^3$

Comments:

- Reason for pole names most apparent from polar representation (see following pages) and sketches of the magnetic pole structure
- Caution: In so-called “US notation”, poles are labeled with index  $n \rightarrow n-1$ 
  - Arbitrary in 2D but US choice *not* good notation in 3D generalizations

Comments continued:

- Normal and Skew symmetries can be taken as a symmetry *definition*. But this choice makes sense for  $n = 2$  quadrupole focusing terms:

$$\overline{F}_x^a = -q\beta_b c \overline{B}_y = -q\beta_b c (\mathcal{B}_2 x - \mathcal{A}_2 y)$$

$$\overline{F}_y^a = q\beta_b c \overline{B}_x = q\beta_b c (\mathcal{B}_2 y + \mathcal{A}_2 x)$$


In equations of motion:

$$\text{Normal} \implies \mathcal{B}_2: \quad x\text{-eqn, } x\text{-focus} \quad y\text{-eqn, } y\text{-defocus}$$


$$\text{Skew} \implies \mathcal{A}_2: \quad x\text{-eqn, } y\text{-defocus} \quad y\text{-eqn, } x\text{-defocus}$$

Magnetic Pole Symmetries (normal orientation):


Dipole ( $n=1$ )



Quadrupole ( $n=2$ )



Sextupole ( $n=3$ )



- Actively rotate normal field structures clockwise through an angle of  $\pi/(2n)$  for skew field component symmetries

### Multipole scale/units

Frequently, in the multipole expansion:

$$\underline{B}^*(z) = \overline{B}_x(x, y) - i\overline{B}_y(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \underline{b}_n z^{n-1}$$

the multipole coefficients  $\underline{b}_n$  are rescaled as

$$\underline{b}_n \rightarrow \underline{b}_n r_p^{n-1} \quad r_p = \text{Aperture "Pipe" Radius}$$

Closest radius of approach of magnetic sources and/or aperture materials

so that the expansions becomes

$$\underline{B}^*(z) = \overline{B}_x(x, y) - i\overline{B}_y(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \underline{b}_n \left(\frac{z}{r_p}\right)^{n-1}$$

Advantages of alternative notation:

- Multipoles  $\underline{b}_n$  given directly in field units regardless of index  $n$
- Scaling of field amplitudes with radius within the magnet bore becomes clear

Scaling of Fields produced by multipole term:

Higher order multipole coefficients (larger  $n$  values) leading to nonlinear focusing forces decrease rapidly within the aperture. To see this use a polar representation for  $z$ ,  $\underline{b}_n$

$$z = x + iy = r e^{i\theta} \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

$$\theta = \arctan[y, x]$$

$$\underline{b}_n = |\underline{b}_n| e^{i\psi_n} \quad \psi_n = \text{Real Const}$$

Thus, the  $n$ th order multipole terms scale as


$$\underline{b}_n \left(\frac{z}{r_p}\right)^{n-1} = |\underline{b}_n| \left(\frac{r}{r_p}\right)^{n-1} e^{i[(n-1)\theta + \psi_n]}$$

- Unless the coefficient  $|\underline{b}_n|$  is very large, high order terms in  $n$  will become small rapidly as  $r_p$  decreases
- Better field quality can be obtained for a given magnet design by simply making the clear bore  $r_p$  larger, or alternatively using smaller bundles (more tight focus) of particles
  - Larger bore machines/magnets cost more. So designs become trade-off between cost and performance.
  - Stronger focusing to keep beam from aperture can be unstable

### S3E: Good Field Radius

Often a magnet design will have a so-called “good-field” radius  $r = r_g$  that the maximum field errors are specified on.

- ◆ In superior designs the good field radius can be around ~70% or more of the clear bore aperture to the beginning of material structures of the magnet.
- ◆ Beam particles should evolve with radial excursions with  $r < r_g$



### Comments:

- ◆ Particle orbits are designed to remain within radius  $r_g$
- ◆ Field error statements are readily generalized to 3D since:


$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^a &= 0 \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{B}^a &= 0 \end{aligned} \implies \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}^a = 0$$

and therefore each component of  $\mathbf{B}^a$  satisfies a Laplace equation within the vacuum aperture. Therefore, field errors decrease when moving more deeply within a source-free region.


### S3F: Example Permanent Magnet Assemblies

A few examples of practical permanent magnet assemblies with field contours are provided to illustrate error field structures in practical devices

8 Rectangular Block Dipole    8 Square Block Quadrupole



12 Rectangular Block Sextupole    8 Rectangular Block Quadrupole



For more info on permanent magnet design see: Lund and Halbach, Fusion Engineering Design, 32-33, 401-415 (1996)

### Corrections and suggestions for improvements welcome!

These notes will be corrected and expanded for reference and for use in future editions of US Particle Accelerator School (USPAS) and Michigan State University (MSU) courses. Contact:

Prof. Steven M. Lund  
 Facility for Rare Isotope Beams  
 Michigan State University  
 640 South Shaw Lane  
 East Lansing, MI 48824

[lund@frib.msu.edu](mailto:lund@frib.msu.edu)  
 (517) 908 – 7291 office  
 (510) 459 - 4045 mobile

Please provide corrections with respect to the present archived version at:

[https://people.nsl.msu.edu/~lund/uspas/ap\\_2018/](https://people.nsl.msu.edu/~lund/uspas/ap_2018/)

Redistributions of class material welcome. Please do not remove author credits.